UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,990	06/06/2007	Kei Yoshida	PRZ-33635	4220
97379 Rankin, Hill &	7590 12/17/201 Clark LLP	EXAMINER		
23755 Lorain R	toad, Suite 200	KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
North Olmsted, OH 44070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ishihara@rankinhill.com crockett@rankinhill.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/587,990	YOSHIDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Octors</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,7-14,16,19 and 20 i 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,15,17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from considerati	on.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

 $Continuation \ of \ Attachment(s)\ 3).\ Information \ Disclosure \ Statement(s)\ (PTO/SB/08),\ Paper\ No(s)/Mail\ Date \ :8/11/10,7/14/09,4/20/09,2/24/09,7/17/08,12/20/07,7/28/06.$

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on 10/4/10.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Applicants' election of Group I, wherein A1 to A4 are carbon, and Q1 and Q2 are non heterocyclic, with traverse is acknowledged herewith. Applicants traverse on the grounds that PTO has failed to establish that Groups I-XI lack the same or the corresponding technical feature. The examiner disagrees. See the office action of 9/7/10, wherein an elaborate explanation has been given. Inasmuch as there is no common cre, the restriction requirement is indeed proper and made **FINAL**.

Applicants have further elected a single disclosed species of compound 1208 in Table 3, on page 115 for the examination purposes. Claims 1-4, 6, 15 and 17-18 read on the elected species. Thus claims 5, 7-14, 16 and 19-20 are furthermore withdrawn from the consideration, being drawn to the non elected invention. Claims 1-4, 6, 15 and 17-18 will be examined to the extent they read on the elected species and closely related compounds.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/11/10, 7/14/09, 4/20/09, 2/24/09, 7/17/08, 12/20/07 and 7/28/06 are in compliance with the

Application/Control Number: 10/587,990

Art Unit: 1621

provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-4, 6, 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lahm et al(US 6,747,047).

Lahm et al teach structurally similar compounds and composition as claimed in herein See Table I and column 2, wherein R3 can be phenyl. The difference between the reference and herein claimed subject matter is that the reference has not made compounds that are encompassed in herein.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain compounds within the generic disclosure of the reference, because they are structurally so similar to those claimed in herein, and R3 can be phenyl as well, with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful insecticidal composition, absent evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri/5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sullivan Daniel can be reached on (571)272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,990 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Kumar 12/13/10

/SHAILENDRA KUMAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621